S. No	Original Clause	Query	Response
1	2.9.4 Existing Clause: Experience of	1	- 1
	preparation of DPR"s for the Airport	1 1	cum RfP remains
	Projects during last 10 years including	, ,	unchanged.
	master plan, engineering surveys and		
	supervision and independent	1 11 11	
	engineering services for projects		
	costing more than Rs. 200 Crore	engineering surveys and supervision and	
		independent engineering services for	
		projects costing more than Rs. 200 Crore.	
2	5.12 Liquidated Damages	Suggested Clause: If the selected	
	Existing Clause: If the selected	<u> </u>	cum RfP remains
	Consultant fails to complete the		unchanged.
	Assignment, within the period	1 2	
	specified under the contract, the		
	consultant shall pay to the Client, fixed		
		contract fees for each week of delay or part	
	not as penalty, @ 1% of the contract	thereof. The aggregate maximum of	
	fees for each week of delay or part	liquidated damages payable to the Client	
	thereof. The aggregate maximum of	under this clause shall be subject to a	
	liquidated damages payable to the	maximum of 5% of the total contract fees.	
	Client under this clause shall be subject		
	to a maximum of 10% of the total		
	contract fees.		
			_
3	5.9.2 Payments to the consultants	Here we would request to set the timeline	Kindly refer
	Existing clause: The client will release	for comments/approval from the	Corrigendum-1 in this

Government(s) are not received within 15 days from the date of forwarding the report. Remaining 20% shall be released only after receipt of comments/approval from the concerned State Government(s)/Nodal Agencies. We request to kindly reduce the amount to 10% considering the fact that to reach the signing of the concession agreement stage substantial time and resources need to be deployed, hence for hand holding 10% payment may be provisioned to incentivize the consultant performance. Experience of preparation of 2 DPR's for The condition of the	6	2.17.2 Qualification and competence	We request the Authority to kindly allow	The condition of the RfQ
Government(s) are not received within 15 days from the date of forwarding the report. Remaining 20% shall be released only after receipt of comments/approval from the concerned State Government(s)/Nodal Agencies. We request to kindly reduce the amount to 10% considering the fact that to reach the signing of the concession agreement stage substantial time and resources need to be deployed, hence for hand holding 10% payment may be provisioned to	5	Experience of preparation of DPR"s for the Airport Projects during last 10 years including master plan, engineering surveys and supervision and independent engineering services for projects costing more than Rs. 200 Crore - 2	the Infrastructure sector with atleast 1 project of preparation of DPR for Airport during last 10 years including master plan, engineering surveys and supervision and independent engineering services for projects costing more than Rs. 200 Crore	cum RfP remains unchanged.
Government(s) are not received within 15 days from the date of forwarding the report. Remaining 20% shall be released only after receipt of comments/approval from the concerned State Government(s)/Nodal	4		10% considering the fact that to reach the signing of the concession agreement stage substantial time and resources need to be deployed, hence for hand holding 10% payment may be provisioned to	cum RfP remains
milestone if the comments/approval agencies.		from the respective State Government(s) are not received within 15 days from the date of forwarding the report. Remaining 20% shall be released only after receipt of comments/approval from the concerned State Government(s)/Nodal		regard.
		80% payment due against a particular	respective state governments/nodal	regard.

	Tean Shou gradi reput	uate/MI	be BA/equiva	a alent f	post	aviat	ion relate	-		least one	cum unchan	RfP	remains
	Shou gradi reput	ld uate/MI	be BA/equiva		post			u project				TAA	
	Trans		tute with a ce in Ir viation S	at least ıfrastruc	cture /		ad of Tea	m Leader		n member	unchang	gcu.	
	have conceunde advis feasil large basis with	demo eptualizi ertaking sory s bility re infrast . Should at lea	nstrated ng PPP successf services/pi eport/ d ructure pi d have b st one a	experie project ul tra reparati evelopn rojects been as viation	ence in ts and insaction of nent of on PPP sociated								
7	6.9 Pa	Modu	Deliver	Time	Paym	the follo	payment	schedule er to redu	for Mo	lly modify dule 2 as yment risk		dition of t remains ged.	he RfQ
	N o. 6	Modu le 2	On submiss ion of	(in mont hs) D+6	Perce ntage 5 30 0 % %	Sr. N o.	Modul es	Deliver ables	Time (in month s)	Paym ent Perce ntage			

	RFQ			6	Modul	On	D+6	5	40	
	cum				e 2	submiss		0	%	
	RFP					ion of		%	70	
	docume					the RFQ		, ,		
	nts, CA					cum				
	etc. for					RFP				
	Bid					docume				
	Process					nts, CA				
7	Conduc	D+8	20			etc. for				
	ting the		%			Bid				
	Bid					Process				
	Process			7		Conduc	D+8		30	7
8	Selectio	D+14	30			ting the			%	
	n of		%			Bid				
	Develo					Process				
	per and			8		Selectio	D+14		10	
	executi					n of			%	
	on of					Develo				
	concess					per and				
	ion					executi				
	agreem					on of				
	ent					concessi				
9	Hand	D+20	20			on				
	Holdin		%			agreem				
	g				1	ent				
				9		Hand	D+20		10	
						Holdin			%	
						g				

8	FORM 3D - Joint Bidding Agreement	We request the Authority to kindly amend	The condition of the RfQ
	Point 5:	this clause as follows:	cum RfP remains
	Joint and Several Liability: The Parties do hereby undertake to be jointly and severally responsible for all obligations and liabilities relating to the Consultancy and in accordance with the terms of the RFQ CUM RFP and the Contract, for the performance of the Contract.	~	unchanged.
9	Clause 2.9.4 - Minimum Qualification Criteria- S. No 3: Average annual turnover for last 3 financial years i.e. 2012-13, 2013-14 and 2014-15 = Rs. 100 Crores	Average annual turnover for last 3 financial years i.e. 2012-13, 2013-14 and 2014-15 = Rs. 75 Crores	Kindly refer Corrigendum-1 in this regard.
10		As per Tender Notice for Invitation Page the last date of Bid Submission is 01st June 2016 whereas as per Clause 2.16 it is 01 July 2016	Kindly refer Corrigendum-1 in this regard
11	2.16.1 Data Sheet Page 23 Data Sheet table reference 6.4.4 a: The extent of subcontracting would be restricted to 30 (thirty) percent of the contract price	The referred clause is not available in the RFP Document. Pl confirms if same is applicable on Bid Special Conditions of Contract.	Kindly refer Corrigendum-1 in this regard.
12	2.17.2 Sl # 5 Traffic Expert Should be a post graduate in transport planning with over 10 Years of	We kindly request change in requirement as per following:	Kindly refer Corrigendum-1 in this regard.

	experience in aviation sector.	"Should be a post graduate in transport planning with over 10 years of experience in large infrastructure projects including aviation sector."	
13	Module -2 last Deliverable "Hand Holding"	What is "Hand Holding" referring to? Please elaborate the scope of work under this deliverable.	The consultant should provide all necessary support to the client/concessionaire as per the requirement. During hand holding no permanent deployment is required. The condition of the RfQ cum RfP remains unchanged.
14	Clause 5.9.2 Page No. 79 The client will release 80% payment due against a particular milestone if the comments/ approval from the respective State Government(s) are not received within 15 days from the date of forwarding the report. Remaining 20% shall be released only after receipt of comments/ approval from the concerned State Government(s)/ Nodal Agencies.	We kindly request change as below: "The client will release 80% payment due against a particular milestone if the comments/ approval from the respective State Government(s) are not received within 15 days from the date of forwarding the report. Remaining 20% shall be released within 30 days from the date of forwarding the report".	Kindly refer Corrigendum-1 in this regard.
15	Clause 2.9.5 Page 17 &18 Qualification Criteria & Marks	We request to provide further detailing of maximum marks allocated for :	Kindly refer Corrigendum-1 in this

	DITOLLINI	N GUJAKAT UNDER DMIC PROJECT	T
	allocation+	 Transaction Advisory services for Airport/Infrastructure works Experience in preparation of DPR for Airport project Each key personnel mentioned in clause 2.17.2 our suggestions are: 	regard.
		 People experienced in airport sector shall be given more weightage Indian airport projects completed or ongoing shall be given more weightage 	
16	Conflict of Interest Pl confirm that there will be no conflict of Interest if the same TA Consultant also provides downstream PMC services for the project appointed by either Client (DMICDC) or Private Developer for airport development in future.		As per Clause 2.6.5 "An Applicant eventually appointed to provide Consultancy for this Assignment/ Project, and its Associates, shall be disqualified from subsequently providing consultancy and/or goods or works or services related to the construction and operation of the same Project and any breach of this obligation shall be construed as Conflict of Interest; provided that the restriction herein shall not

	DITOLEKA II	N GUJARAT UNDER DMIC PROJECT	
			apply after a period of 2 (two) years from the completion of this Assignment; provided further that this restriction shall not apply to consultancy/ advisory services performed for the Client in continuation of this Consultancy or to any subsequent consultancy/ advisory services performed for the Client in accordance with the rules of the Client. The condition of the RfQ cum RfP remains unchanged.
17	2. Detailed Scope of Work; Page 60-64 New DPR, Project model & Strategy	The RfP States" In case of New DPR, the TA may use the relevant information of AAI and JICA DPR." The requirement for a new DPR is not clear It is understood that the TA may accept some components of the existing two DPRs, however, if the traffic study of the two DPRs are varying, then the development program and costing of the project will vary widely. To understand	As per the scope of work, the consultant has to review the existing DPR's and suggest modifications as per the current scenario. All the comments/suggestions/modifications has to be incorporated and a revised final DPR has to be

10		which one is realistic, the TA has to do a fresh traffic study and the new result will lead to a requirement of a new DPR. It is suggested that a new payment milestone be kept for preparation of new DPR, if the need arises.	submitted. The condition of the RfQ cum RfP remains unchanged.
18	Clause 2.7.3 page 11 All key staff proposed must be full time employees of the firm.	Legal expert should be exempted from this clause.	The condition of the RfQ cum RfP remains unchanged.
19	Conflict of Interest	To check any conflict of interest Our company India Aviation Consulting & Support LLP was engaged by AAI, on the basis of open tender, for preparing the DPR for the Dholera Airport Project as per the prara 2.6.4 this is not in conflict situation but please get it checked legally.	The condition of the RfQ cum RfP remains unchanged.
20	Aviation Expert	I am an Electronics Engineer with almost 40 years in Aviation ask for inclusion of Electronics Engg in addition to Electrical, Mechanical and Aeronautical Engg.	Kindly refer Corrigendum-1 in this regard.
21	Average Annual Turnover	We request you to kindly clarify on the turnover criteria and please consider the average annual turnover of the company in last three (3) financial years.	Kindly refer Corrigendum-1 in this regard.
22	The applicant should have provided Transaction Advisory services to Government entities/ Public Sector entities for Airport	The applicant should have provided TA services to Govt. entities/PSU for Airport	Kindly refer Corrigendum-1 in this regard.

	Projects/Infrastructure projects costing		
	more than Rs. 1000 crores in case of a	India and/or US \$250 million for projects	
	project in India and/or US \$ 500	undertaken elsewhere during last 10 years.	
	million for projects undertaken		
	elsewhere during last 10 years.		
23	Clause 2.9.5 Technical Evaluation	The consultant wants understand that	Kindly refer
	Criteria	whether ongoing and participated projects	Corrigendum-1 in this
		will also be considered for evaluation along	regard.
		with completed projects?	
24	Clause 2.7.3(1) All key staff members	The consultant would like to ask that apart	The condition of the RfQ
	must be full time employees of the firm	from the team leader, can the rest of the	cum RfP remains
		key members be external experts?	unchanged.
25	Clause 2.16.1 (Data Sheet) Request for	The consultant would like to request for	Kindly refer
	Extension	extension, by 3 weeks, from the date of	Corrigendum-1 in this
		submission of proposal as mentioned in the	regard.
		RFQ cum RFP as, engaging International	
		consultants may require that time.	
26	Section 2 Clause 2.7.3 (1) Key staff	Aviation industry is a very specialized	The condition of the RfQ
	All key staff proposed must be full	industry. It may not be possible to have all	cum RfP remains
	time employees of the firm.	experts related to aviation sector in house	unchanged.
		for the consultant. As such, we request to	
		modify the clause as follows:	
		Deployment of all key staff proposed must	
		be must be responsibility of the Applicant	
		firm	
27	Section 2	The maximum marks allocated for Specific	Kindly refer
	Clause 2.9.5 Technical Evaluation	Experience of the Firm is 25.	Corrigendum-1 in this

	TC: 11 . 1	4
_		regard.
to the Project	1 1	
	Applicant in order to score maximum	
	marks.	
Section 2	We would like to submit that the response	Kindly refer
Sub section 2.16.1 Data Sheet	to the RFP requires a detailed	Corrigendum-1 in this
Reference 2.7.6 The last date of	understanding of the project and includes a	regard.
submission of the Proposal 1-July-2016	meticulous procedure for engaging various	_
03:00 PM	Experts, compilation of all the relevant	
	and planning, assess the quantum of work	
	In view of the above and in order to submit	
	more informed bid, we request you to	
	2 7	
Section 2	• •	The condition of the RfQ
Sub section 2.16.1 Data Sheet		cum RfP remains
Reference 6.4.4 a Sub-consultancy		
		O
The extent of sub-contracting would be	J J	
`		
-		
1 2	· ·	
`	•	
prices) of sub consultants.	,	
	Section 2 Sub section 2.16.1 Data Sheet Reference 2.7.6 The last date of submission of the Proposal 1-July-2016 03:00 PM Section 2 Sub section 2.16.1 Data Sheet Reference 6.4.4 a Sub-consultancy The extent of sub-contracting would be restricted to 30 (thirty) percent of the contract price. The client will be provided by the consultant with particulars (name, financial and technical back ground, excluding	Section 2 Sub section 2.16.1 Data Sheet Reference 2.7.6 The last date of submission of the Proposal 1-July-2016 03:00 PM We would like to submit that the response to the RFP requires a detailed understanding of the project and includes a meticulous procedure for engaging various Experts, compilation of all the relevant documents, assessment of existing policy and planning, assess the quantum of work required etc. In view of the above and in order to submit more informed bid, we request you to extend the date for the submission of the proposal by at least 3 weeks from the date of issue of pre-bid queries. Section 2 Sub-consultancy arrangement is an internal arrangement of the Applicant for enhancing its capability. In case of subconsultancy arrangement, the responsibility lies with the Applicant only and the sub-consultant does not enter into any arrangement directly with the client. Moreover, the commercial arrangement with the sub-consultant may be based on many other factors such as long term

		aggregation in other musicate star It many not	
		association in other projects, etc. It may not	
		purely base on division of work for this	
		project.	
		As such, we request you to remove this	
		clause for sub-consultancy arrangement	
30	Section 2 Clause 2.17.2	Since the Expert is required to have 10	Kindly refer
	Qualification and competence of key	years of experience in Aviation sector	Corrigendum-1 in this
	staff Aviation Expert	projects, we request you to modify the	regard.
	_	qualification experience as follows:	
		Should have degree in	
		Civil/Mechanical/Aeronautical/	
		Architecture	
31	Section 2	The role expected from PPP Expert is	The condition of the RfO
	Clause 2.17.2 Qualification and	project structuring, risk sharing	cum RfP remains
	competence of key staff PPP Expert	mechanism, etc. which will not require	unchanged.
	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	specific aviation experience.	8-1-
		Moreover, there are already positions such	
		as Team leader, Aviation Expert, Traffic	
		Expert who are required to have specific	
		Aviation experience.	
		Hence, we believe, the PPP Expert may not	
		require to have specific aviation experience	
		and experience in infrastructure projects would be sufficient.	
		Hence, we request you remove the	
		requirement of having Aviation experience	
		for the PPP Expert.	
32	Section 2	Financial consultants are not allowed to	The condition of the RfQ

	-	<u> </u>	·
	Clause 2.17.2 Qualification and	provide legal services. Hence, financial	cum RfP remains
	competence of key staff Legal Expert	consultants are mandatorily required to	unchanged.
		source the Legal Expert from outside.	
		As such, we request you to remove the	
		requirement of proposed key staff being	
		full time employee of the firm (please refer	
		to Clause 2.7.3 (1)).	
33	Section-5 Scope of Work	We do not know the extent of work carried	The consultants are
	Assessment of Existing DPR's	out by AAI and JICA while preparing the	requested to visit the
		DPRs. Hence we request that both the	
		DPRs be available for review before	the available reports.
		submitting the technical and financial	
		proposal so that the correct amount of	
		scope can be assessed and a proposal	cum RfP remains
		can be made accordingly.	unchanged.
34	Section-5 Scope of Work	Has master planning been carried out in	1
		sufficient details to provide developers	carried out in sufficient
		with the level of details or is this within the	detail to provide
		scope of the TA assignment.	developers with the level
		In such case, we recommend adding the	
		role of Airport Master Planner as well.	The consultants are
			requested to review the
			existing reports already
			available with the Client.
			The condition of the RfQ
			cum RfP remains
			unchanged.
35	Section-5 Scope of Work	Project cost: The project cost is generally	The project outlay, cost

		arrived either on thumb rule basis on the	and relevant features of		
		development area of land, terminal and air	the project have been		
		sides.	determined by AAI,		
			considering site suitability,		
		Alternatively a detailed option is to	traffic forecast etc. The		
		prepare a concept architectural plan and	revised DPR prepared by		
		detail it to a BOQ level such a more	TA would give a project		
		accurate project cost can be assessed.	model to be implemented		
			by developer. However,		
		As project cost is very critical for	developer is expected to		
		developers for reference purpose, the	carry out its BoQ level		
		detailed option is generally followed	assessment and due		
			diligence for		
		Please advise how the project cost has been	implementation.		
		arrived at.			
		For the assessment of project cost, would			
		the TA be required to carry out analysis at	The condition of the RfQ		
		BoQ level with an architectural concept	cum RfP remains		
		plan or at a thumb rule level.	unchanged.		
36	2.7.3	Freelance consultant associated as advisor	The condition of the RfQ		
	All key staff proposed must be full	with the firm are eligible?	cum RfP remains		
	time employees of the firm.		unchanged.		
37	2.17.2	10 years of experience after PG or any one	Kindly refer		
	5. Traffic Expert	with 10 years and a PG degree is	Corrigendum-1 in this		
	Should be a post graduate in transport	acceptable?	regard.		
	planning with over 10 years of	- Request to change this to experience in			
	experience in aviation sector.	urban transport sector.			
		- Request an expert with bachelor's degree			

		3	
		and 20+years of experience in traffic	
		planning/engineering to be accepted as	
		Traffic Expert?	
38	IV. New DPR, Project model &	A floating component of payment shall be	The condition of the RfQ
	Strategy	added, in case, traffic surveys or any other	cum RfP remains
	a. In case of new DPR, TA may use the	surveys required for revision in the DPR.	unchanged.
	relevant and useful information of AAI	- We suggest 10-15 % of quoted fee, as	
	and JICA DPR. Updation of traffic &	floating payment. Shall be paid, only if any	
	cargo forecast, project structuring etc.	additional surveys required for updating	
		the DPR.	
39	Note: TA should assist the client in	This part itself is a separate transaction.	Kindly refer
	other project developmental activities	Request clarity on this.	Corrigendum-1 in this
	like flood mitigation works etc.		regard.
	including selection of consultants for		_
	various other activities like selection of		
	consultants for flood mitigation works,		
	selection of PMC etc. as per the		
	directions of the client and		
	requirements of the project		
40	Deliverables & Payment	We believe, any change recommended and	The condition of the RfQ
	Module – 1	incorporation will take more time and	cum RfP remains
	Revised draft DPR after incorporating	should be D+4 i.e 2 months from date of	unchanged.
	necessary changes: D+3	end of review of existing DPR's.	
	Final revised DPR, Draft Project model	- Final revised DPR timeline can be	
	& Strategy: D+5	extended to D+5.5	
	Final Project Model Strategy: D+5.5	- Final project model at D+6	
		- No success fees?	
41		In case we bid as a consortium of	If the consortium leader is

	DHOLEKA IN GUJAKAT UNDER DMIC PROJECT				
		companies – is the consortium leader	not a registered company		
		required to have a registered company in	in India, the payment to		
		India?	the consortium leader will		
			be made as per applicable		
			Permanent Establishment		
			laws under relevant		
			taxation guidelines.		
			If one of the consortium		
			partners does not have a		
			registered company in		
			India, the payment will be		
			made to the consortium		
			leader having registered		
			office in India.		
42	Bid Security	We request the Authority to kindly modify	The condition of the RfQ		
	A bid security in the form of a Demand	the Bid Security amount from INR	cum RfP remains		
	Draft/ Bank Guarantee, from a	10,00,000/- to INR 5,00,000.	unchanged.		
	scheduled Indian Bank in favour of	We have seen for similar projects of			
	"Delhi Mumbai Industrial Corridor	development of Airports and other			
	Development Corporation Limited",	infrastructure projects the bid security			
	valid for 180 (one hundred and eighty)	amount ranges from INR 1,00,000/- to INR			
	days from the PDD, payable at New	5,00,000/-			
	Delhi, for the sum of Rs 10,00,000/-				
	(Rupees Ten Lakh Only) or US dollars				
	20,000.00 (USD Twenty Thousand only) shall be required to be submitted				
	by each Applicant ("Bid Security")				
43	Clause 5.1.1, Point 1	Request the Authority to kindly clarify if	1426 Hectares of Govt.		
43	Clause J.1.1, I Ullit 1	request the Authority to knighty clarify if	1420 Hectares of Govt.		

	Page 59 Objective	the entire land for the proposed Airport and allied infrastructure is in possession of the Authority. If not, by when it is expected to be transferred to the Authority/Dholera International Airport Company Limited	Taluka Dholera, has been reserved by the State Govt. for the Airport
			unchanged.
44	Clause 5.1.1, Point II, sub-point Page 61 Development of MRO & Aviation Zone:	Request the Authority to kindly clarify if the MRO and aviation zone shall be mandatorily be developed by the Airport Operator or a separate contract shall be floated for its development. Further, in case it is decided that due to low financial viability of the MRO and Aviation Zone project, the said project is not developed further, request the authority to kindly clarify if the payment terms and conditions, as set forth in the tender document, shall not change.	the study should give a clear recommendation in terms of development of MRO/Aviation Zone/Cargo Development and/or any other zone

	DITOLLIMI	N GUJAKAT UNDER DMIC PROJECT	T
			agency recommended by the Client before release of payment. The condition of the RfQ cum RfP remains unchanged.
45	Page 64 Note: TA should assist the client in other project developmental activities like flood mitigation works etc. including selection of consultants for various other activities like selection of consultants for flood mitigation works, selection of PMC etc. as per the directions of the client and requirements of the project.	Authority in selection of consultants for various other activities like selection of consultants for flood mitigation works, selection of PMC etc. as per the directions	Kindly refer Corrigendum-1 in this regard.
46	Page 62 New DPR, Project model & Strategy	As per the terms of reference, for the instant project the respective authority has undertaken preparation of two DPRs from external agencies, i.e., AAI and JICA. More clarity is sought if a new DPR is to be prepared or only review of the DPR is required. This may result in an increase in the consultancy fees.	As per the scope of work, the consultant has to review the existing DPR's and suggest modifications as per the current scenario. All the comments/suggestions/modifications has to be incorporated and a revised final DPR has to be submitted.

ne RfQ emains ne RfQ emains
ne RfQ
_
_
emains
!
ne RfQ
emains

	DITOLLIMI	V GOJAKAT UNDER DIVITCTROJECT	
	Rupees One Lakh only) plus Service		
	Tax @14.5 %		
49	Reference Clause 2.5.1: A bid security	We humbly request you to kindly consider	The condition of the RfQ
	in the form of a Demand Draft/ Bank	reducing the bid security	cum RfP remains
	Guarantee, from a scheduledIndian		unchanged.
	Bank in favour of "Delhi Mumbai		
	Industrial Corridor Development		
	Corporation Limited", valid for 180		
	(one hundred and eighty) days from		
	the PDD, payable at New Delhi, for the		
	sum of Rs 10,00,000/(Rupees Ten Lakh		
	Only) or US dollars 20,000.00 (USD		
	Twenty Thousand only) shall be		
	required to be submitted by each		
	Applicant ("Bid Security").		
50	Project office	RFP states that "Consultant is advised to	The condition of the RfQ
		have their main Project Office at	cum RfP remains
		Gandhinagar". We understand that the	unchanged.
		consultant has to put in substantial efforts	
		to collect information through field visits	
		and multiple client discussions. However,	
		we understand that it is advisable but not	
		mandatory to have the main project office	
		at Gandhinagar. Request clarification on	
		the same.	
		We would like to highlight that Deloitte	
		has its offices at 13 cities including	
		Ahmedabad and Baroda in Gujarat; and	

<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	our team is totally mobile to readily travel	
	to different project locations.	
Preparation of proposal	RfP states that the Joint Bidding Agreement	The condition of the RfQ
	in case of JV/consortium should confirm	cum RfP remains
	the "The authorized representative of the	unchanged
	JV/Consortium (as approved by a Board	
	resolution of Member-in-Charge)".	
	Typically the authorized representative	
	from Deloitte is a Partner, who is already	
	approved by the firm's Board resolution. In	
	case of a JV/consortium with any other	
	firm, we do not seek a separate Board	
	approval specifically for the JV/consortium	
	to nominate an authorized representative.	
	We understand that separate approval by a	
	Board Resolution of Member-in-Charge is	
	not required in Joint Bidding Agreement in	
	case of JV/consortium. Request	
	confirmation on the same.	
Preparation of proposal	All key staff proposed must be permanent	The condition of the RfQ
	full time employees of the firm".We	cum RfP remains
	understand that experts working with a	unchanged.
	firm on long term contracts would also	_
	considered full time employees. Request	
	confirmation of the same.	
Minimum Qualification Criteria	RfP states that "The applicant should have	Kindly refer
	provided Transaction Advisory services to	Corrigendum-1 in this
	Government entities/ Public Sector entities	regard.
	Preparation of proposal	to different project locations. RfP states that the Joint Bidding Agreement in case of JV/consortium should confirm the "The authorized representative of the JV/Consortium (as approved by a Board resolution of Member-in-Charge)". Typically the authorized representative from Deloitte is a Partner, who is already approved by the firm's Board resolution. In case of a JV/consortium with any other firm, we do not seek a separate Board approval specifically for the JV/consortium to nominate an authorized representative. We understand that separate approval by a Board Resolution of Member-in-Charge is not required in Joint Bidding Agreement in case of JV/consortium. Request confirmation on the same. Preparation of proposal All key staff proposed must be permanent full time employees of the firm". We understand that experts working with a firm on long term contracts would also considered full time employees. Request confirmation of the same. Minimum Qualification Criteria RfP states that "The applicant should have provided Transaction Advisory services to

DHOLERA IN GUJARAT UNDER DMIC PROJECT				
	for Airport Projects/Infrastructure projects			
	costing more than Rs. 1000 crores in case of			
	a project in India and/or US \$ 500 million			
	for projects undertaken elsewhere during			
	last 10 years."			
	☐ We would like to highlight that during			
	the last 10 years, there have been very few			
	airport sector PPP based transactions with			
	project cost above Rs 1000 crore. Further,			
	the recent RfPs with similar scope and scale			
	of project, have pegged the project cost			
	criteria to "above 500 crore". In this view,			
	the requirement of project cost to be above			
	Rs 1000 crore may limit the participation of			
	firms having good experience in handling			
	such projects.			
	We request DMIDC to reconsider this			
	criteria and reduce the project cost to Rs			
	500 crore.			
	☐ Similarly, for projects undertaken			
	elsewhere during last 10 years, the project			
	cost has to be above US \$ 500 million. This			
	corresponds to project cost above Rs 3,500			
	crore which is not in sync with the			
	minimum project cost requirement for			
	domestic projects. In this view, we request			
	DMIDC to reconsider this criteria and			
	reduce the project cost to US \$ 200 million.			

	Differentia	N GUJAKAT UNDER DMIC PROJECT			
		DMICDC may consider replacing the			
		above clause with the following:			
		"The applicant should have provided			
		Transaction Advisory services to			
		Government entities/ Public Sector entities			
		for:			
		a. Airport Projects costing more than Rs.			
		500 crore in case of a project in India,			
		and/or US \$ 200 million for projects			
		undertaken elsewhere during last 10 years.			
		And/or			
		b. Infrastructure projects costing more than			
		Rs. 500 crore in case of a project in India			
		and/or US \$ 200 million for projects			
		undertaken elsewhere during last 10			
		years."			
54	Technical evaluation criteria	RfP states that:	Kindly		refer
		"Specific experience of the Firm	Corrigendum-1	in	this
		The firm should have undertaken /	regard.		
		completed similar projects as mentioned in			
		clause 2.9.4 (a) of providing services related			
		to Transaction Advisory for airport			
		projects, power projects, railway projects,			
		ports, etc. in last 10 years. The emphasis			
		will be on relevance of the projects to the			
		nature of assignment, cost, size and scope			
		of work performed by the consultant i.e. of			
		comparable size, complexity, technical			

SELECTION OF TRANSACTION ADVISORS FOR DEVELOPMENT OF INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AT

DHOLERA IN GUJARAT UNDER DMIC PROJECT				
	speciality projects. Project of for the years." We wonthe fact in provinto a Gairport significate a project this, we consider towards transact develop We wonthe words.	experience in preparation experience in preparation Airport Projects during uld request DMICDC to that a consultant having iding transaction advisor projects on PPP basis we antly higher experience of the of this nature and scale. The would request DM or giving a higher	n of DPR"s ng last 10 o consider experience ry services ifically for would have of handling. In view of MICDC to weightage providing in airport o consider	
	S No	Firm Experience (last 10 years)	Weightag e for max marks per project	

Transaction advisory 80% for non-PPP projects in airport development with project cost above	1	Transaction advisory for PPP projects in airport development with project cost above Rs 500 crore or US \$ 200 million in overseas	100%	
	2	million in overseas Transaction advisory for non-PPP projects in airport development	80%	

DHOLEKA II	N GUJAK	RAT UNDER DMIC PRO	JECI	
	3	Transaction advisory	60%	
		for PPP projects in		
		other infrastructure		
		development (power		
		projects, railway		
		projects, ports, etc.)		
		with project cost above		
		Rs 500 crore in India or		
		US \$ 200 million in		
		overseas		
	4	Transaction advisory	40%	
		for non-PPP projects in		
		other infrastructure		
		development (power		
		projects, railway		
		projects, ports, etc.)		
		with project cost above		
		Rs 500 crore or US \$ 200		
	For exa	million in overseas ample, if the maximum	mark for	
		oject is 2.5, a project belor		
	will be	evaluated for 100%*2.5=	2.5 marks,	

		whereas, a project belonging to #4 will be evaluated for 40%*2.5 = 1 mark only.			
55	Technical evaluation criteria	Request DMICDC to clarify the number of projects to be put against Transaction advisory services and against project experience in DPR preparation for airports.	_	in	refer this
56	Qualification and competence of key staff	Traffic expert - Should be a post graduate in transport planning with over 10 years of experience in aviation sector. Based on our experience of handling similar projects, the experience of a key personnel in traffic forecasting for airport based projects is of paramount importance. We request DMICDC to kindly reconsider the education criteria and allow key personnel experience with relevant experience in traffic forecasting having a graduate/post graduate degree in transport planning/civil and/or MBA.	Kindly Corrigendum-1 regard.	in	refer
57	Pre-Qualification Proposal submission form	"We further certify that no investigation by a regulatory authority is pending either against us or against our affiliates or against our CEO or any of our Directors/ Managers/ employees." We would request DMICDC to consider limiting the above clause to the	Kindly Corrigendum-1 regard.	in	refer this

		N GOJAKAT ONDER DIVICTROJECT	
		engagement team. DMICDC may consider	
		revising the clause as below:	
		"We further certify that no investigation by	
		a regulatory authority is pending either	
		against us or against our Associates or	
		against our CEO or any of our Partners /	
		Senior Directors who will be part of the	
		engagement team."	
58	Broad scope of work	We request the DMICDC to confirm the	The demarcation &
		total area available with the Government	measurement of land is
		next to the Airport Land. Further it is not	being carried out by the
		clear whether the TA's scope of work also	District Inspector of Land
		includes assessment of undertaking	Records, Ahmedabad.
		commercial activity such as hotels, office	TA is expected to explore
		complex, retail malls etc on such adjacent	the possibilities of
		land. We request DMICDC to confirm	converting this area as
		whether such commercial assessment	Aviation Industry Zone.
		would be in the scope of work of the TA.	TA may suitably advice to
		······································	add commercial activities.
			dad commercial delivines.
			The condition of the RfQ
			cum RfP remains
			unchanged.
			unchangea.

59	Detailed Terms of Reference	We request DMICDC to confirm the	The scope of TA includes
		coverage of AAI or JICA DPRs. We	review of drawings
		understand that the coverage of the DPR's	prepared by AAI/JICA
		is only master plans, VGF, financial	including all other aspects
		modelling, improvement in viability,	_
		project structuring with or without MRO	prepared by AAI/JICA.
		Facility & Aviation Zone. We request	The DPR's prepared by
		clarifications on the following aspects:	AAI/JICA does not
		☐ Does AAI or JICA DPR include any	include the assessment of
		preliminary drawings / detailed	land adjacent to the
		engineering – and whether the scope of TA	Airport.
		would include review of such drawings	The DPR was prepared by
		☐ Does AAI or JICA DPR include	
		commercial assessment at the land adjacent	
		to Airport site	The prospective bidders
		☐ Does AAI or JICA DPR include	_
		assessment of Cargo Hub facilities	client's office and review
		☐ Does AAI or JICA DPR include traffic	l O
		projections – for passengers and cargo – we	prepared by AAI/JICA.
		understand that the scope of TA would	
		only require reviewing the assumptions by	
		AAI / JICA for undertaking traffic	
		projections and TA would not have to	cum RfP remains
		undertake any traffic survey – please	unchanged.
		confirm	
		☐ We would like DMICDC to kindly	
		confirm the duration for which the DPR	
		has been prepared	

	DHOLLKITI	N GUJAKAT UNDER DMIC PROJECT	
		☐ We understand that the TA's scope would not include the review of EIA	
		undertaken by AAI / JICA. Please confirm	
		, , ,	
60	Detailed Terms of Reference	Point II mentions that proposed airport	The condition of the RfQ
		project may include the aviation zone	cum RfP remains
)MRO and other aerospace industries) – we	unchanged.
		request DMICDC to confirm what other	
		aerospace industries are being considered	
		by DMICDC. The TA's would need to	
		assess the effort required for such work	
		based on the options provided by	
		DMICDC.	
61	Detailed Terms of Reference	Scope of the TA under MRO includes "Site	
		suitability, feasibility and market potential	The condition of the RfQ
		for an MRO in Dholera". We request	cum RfP remains
		DMICDC to confirm:	unchanged.
		☐ We understand that the scope of TA	
		would not include any ground survey /	
		topographical survey	
62	Detailed Terms of Reference	Development of Aviation Zone - We	_
		would like to highlight that the scope	cum RfP remains
		mentioned under this particular section is	unchanged.
		very wide. As per the current scope, the	
		following would be under the scope	
		"Explore the opportunities for other	
		aviation-related/aerospace businesses; for	

	DITOLLATI	N GUJAKAT UNDER DMIC PROJECT	
		example manufacturing of aircraft parts,	
		aviation instruments & equipment, logistics	
		machinery, terminal logistics and	
		machineries and aviation academies &	
		flight training institutes.	
		c. Accordingly, prepare a suitable aviation	
		policy for the Project highlighting	
		objectives, incentives, land demarcation,	
		layout plan, land use, allotment system,	
		pricing mechanism and so on.	
		d. Suggest business plan and	
		implementation mechanism to attract	
		investments from the aviation industries	
		and logistics community mentioned as	
		above "	
		These tasks would require substantial	
		effort (much more than 5 months) as the	
		number of industries to be covered under	
		the feasibility study are large - further	
		there is no boundary in terms of what is	
		under scope and what is not. We request	
		DMICD to remove this part from the scope	
		of work of the TA	
63	Detailed Terms of Reference	We request confirmation that new DPR	The scope of work has
		doesn't need to be prepared - new master	been clearly indicated in
		plan, new capex estimates, new OPEX	the RfQ cum RfP.
		estimates, new REPEX estimates etc are not	
		required - and TA is only information	

_	DITOLEKA II	N GUJAKAT UNDER DMIC PROJECT	
		needs to be update the information	The condition of the RfQ
		wherever required and use the to prepare	cum RfP remains
		financial models to assess viability.	unchanged.
64	Detailed Terms of Reference	"Development of Aviation zone:	The condition of the RfQ
		Accordingly, prepare a suitable aviation	cum RfP remains
		policy for the Project highlighting	unchanged.
		objectives, incentives, land demarcation,	
		layout plan, land use, allotment system,	
		pricing mechanism and so on."	
		We do not envisage any policy work as	
		part of the scope of work for this	
		assignment, and accordingly request	
		DMICDC to modify the underlined text in	
		the above clause.	
65	Detailed Terms of Reference	Currently the scope mentions "	A broad analysis of
		The recommended business model may be	business model based on
		based on analysis of aeronautical and non-	available information is
		aeronautical models of Indian Airports	required.
		(Delhi, Mumbai, Bangalore, Hyderabad	
		and Ahmedabad) and International hub	The condition of the RfQ
		airports (Dubai, Heathrow, Singapore) and	cum RfP remains
		influence of integrated infrastructure &	unchanged.
		commercial development of these airports	
		and propose appropriate the facilities for	
		Dholera airport". We would like to	
		highlight that these information may not be	

		available in the public domain. We request DMICDC to confirm that this task needs to be undertaken based on information available with DMICDC and on public domain – no site visits would be required.	
66	Detailed Terms of Reference	Currently scope of work includes "TA may also study the positive and negative impact of existing (Ahmedabad, Mumbai) or upcoming international airport (Navi Mumbai) over Dholera Airport" – We request DMICDC to please clarify what is expected under this task.	The condition of the RfQ cum RfP remains unchanged.
67	Detailed Terms of Reference	TA shall study the current and past traffic data and determine the saturation traffic level of existing Ahmedabad Airport. – We request DMICDC to please clarify whether such tasks have been undertaken in AAI and JICA studies or not.	The prospective applicants are requested to visit the client's office and study the existing DPR's prepared by AAI/JICA. The condition of the RfQ cum RfP remains unchanged.
68	Detailed Terms of Reference	Suggest the potential of Carbon Credit of the project through implementation of green technologies and renewable sources of energy. – We would like to highlight that this task would require technical expertise and currently the team does not have an	The condition of the RfQ cum RfP remains unchanged.

		t de jimair en ben binie integral	
		expert who can undertake this task -	
		accordingly request DMICDC to remove	
		this from the scope of work	
69	Detailed Terms of Reference	"TA shall prepare and finalize Expression	
		of Interest (EOI)". We suggest that this	cum RfP remains
		shall be finalized only based on final	unchanged.
		bidding strategy - in case the bidding	
		strategy is only 2 step process, then EOI	
		stage may be removed from the scope of	
		the work of the TA	
70	Detailed Terms of Reference	Currently the scope of work includes	Kindly refer
		"Prepare a marketing strategy to showcase	Corrigendum-1 in this
		the project at national/ international level	regard.
		and accordingly market the project to the	-
		prospective developers."	
		We request confirmation that scope would	
		only include making the relevant	
		documents - the scope would not include	
		undertaking any marketing roadshows in	
		India or abroad.	
71	Detailed Terms of Reference	"TA shall arrange all pre-bid conferences	The logistics arrangement
		for the selection of PPP developer for the	linked to organizing such
		Project."	pre-bid conferences such
		We request DMICDC to kindly confirm	will be provided by the
		that all logistics arrangement linked to	Client. However, the
		organizing such pre-bid conferences such	approval for the same

		1 GOJAKATI CIVDEK DIVICTROJECI	
		as booking auditorium/hotel, lunch,	should be taken before
		transportation etc. would be provided by	actual expenditure is
		DMICDC.	incurred.
			The condition of the RfQ
			cum RfP remains
			unchanged.
72	Detailed Terms of Reference	"Note: TA should assist the client in other	Kindly refer
		project developmental activities like flood	Corrigendum-1 in this
		mitigation works etc. including selection of	regard.
		consultants for various other activities like	
		selection of consultants for flood mitigation	
		works, selection of PMC etc. as per the	
		directions of the client and requirements of	
		the project."	
		The RFP stated that such consultants are	
		already working with DMICDC. Is this still	
		applicable. Further the scope of work is	
		more of PMC nature and hence should be	
		removed from TAs scope of work.	
73	General Conditions of Contract	It is highlighted that the pre-existing IPR(s)	Refer clause No. 5.6.6.
		of our firm, which we may use for the	
		successful completion of tasks, will still	The condition of the RfQ
		continue to be with us after the	cum RfP remains
		engagement is successfully completed. We	unchanged.
		request DMICDC to kindly modify the	
		clause accordingly.	
l		1 220000 00000 00000 00000	1

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
74	General Conditions of Contract	It is highlighted that professional services	The condition of the RfQ
		firms like ours have an overall insurance at	cum RfP remains
		the firm level including for instance Group	unchanged.
		Personnel Accident Policy. As our scope of	
		work pertains to advisory inputs, we do	
		not foresee a situation for arranging a	
		separate insurance at own cost and on	
		terms and conditions approved by the	
		client separately for this engagement. In	
		view of this, we request client to kindly	
		consider deletion of the referred clause	
		regarding insurance.	
75	General Conditions of Contract	RfP states that "the insurance policies so	The condition of the RfQ
		procured shall mention the Client as the	cum RfP remains
		beneficiary of the Consultants and the	unchanged.
		Consultants shall procure an undertaking	
		from the insurance company in this	
		regard."	
		It is highlighted that our firm has an	
		umbrella policy which will not identify the	
		specific client (DMICDC in this case) as	
		beneficiary. In view of this, we request	
		DMICDC to delete this clause.	
76	General Conditions of Contract	We request DMICDC to consider limiting	The condition of the RfQ
		overall liability of the bidder including	cum RfP remains
		Liquidity Damages to 10% of contract	unchanged.
		value, and Penalties to be caped to 5% of	
		contract value.	

77	General Conditions of Contract	Request DMICDC to clarify that DMICDC will facilitate the release of remaining 20% of payment, against completion of work as per Terms of Reference, within 45 days of forwarding the report to respective state Governments, in case of delays in	DMICDC will facilitate the consultant so as to complete the assignment within given time frame. The condition of the RfQ cum RfP remains
78	General Conditions of Contract	approvals. We would request DMICDC to consider modifying the clause as follows: "If the selected Consultant fails to complete the Assignment for the reason solely attributable to the Consultant, within the period specified under the contract, the consultant shall pay to the Client, fixed and agreed liquidated damages, and not as penalty, @ 1% of the contract fees for each week of delay or part thereof. The aggregate maximum of liquidated damages payable to the Client under this clause shall be subject to a maximum of 10% of the total contract fees."	unchanged. The condition of the RfQ cum RfP remains unchanged.
79	General Conditions of Contract	"(i) related to or arising out of, whether directly or indirectly, (a) the breach by the Consultant of any obligations specified in relevant clauses hereof; " Request DMICDC to remove the term "indirectly" in the above clause.	The condition of the RfQ cum RfP remains unchanged.

80	General Conditions of Contract	"hereunder, or (ii) the proceeds the	The condition of the RfQ
		Consultants may be entitled to receive from	
		any insurance maintained by the	unchanged.
		consultants to cover such a liability,	_
		whichever of (i) or (ii) is higher."	
		Request DMICDC to consider deleting the	
		above clause as typically firms like ours	
		cannot link the liability to insurance	
		proceeds.	
81	After submission of the final reports of	1 1	
	each phase by the Consultant, to the	applicability of Clause 2.3 till completion of	cum RfP remains
	satisfaction of the Client or State Nodal	the engagement.	unchanged.
	Agency, if clarifications are required or		
	doubt arises as to the interpretation of		
	anything included in the reports,	"Clause 2.3 will be applicable till	
	consultant shall, on receipt of written	completion of the engagement."	
	request form the Client or State Nodal		
	Agency, furnish such clarification to		
	the satisfaction of Client or State Nodal		
	Agency within five (05) working days		
	without any extra charge.		
82	For the successful bidder the	Please advise if the number of days is 180	Kindly refer
	Performance Security shall be retained	or 380.	Corrigendum-1 in this
	by Client until the completion of the		regard.
	assignment by the Consultant and be		
	released 180 (Three Hundred Eighty)		
	days after the completion of the		
	assignment.		

	DITOLLINI	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 			
83	If the Applicant being a Consortium is	, ,	Kindly		refer
	qualified on the strength of the	evaluated under the tender. Thus, we	U	in	this
	experience of a member which is a	request you to waive requirement under	regard.		
ı	foreign company/ entity, requisite key	the aforementioned clause subject to an			
	personnel from that foreign company/	undertaking that necessary inputs would			
	entity shall be fielded.	be provided by the foreign personnel to the			
		project.			
84	Experience of preparation of DPRs for	We request you to please provide the	Kindly		refer
	the Airport Projects during last 10	minimum project cost (in US dollars) in	Corrigendum-1	in	this
	years including master plan,	case of international projects.	regard.		
	engineering surveys and supervision				
	and independent engineering services				
	for projects costing more than Rs. 200				
	Crore				
85	The firm should have undertaken /	Please advise whether an airport project	Kindly		refer
	completed similar projects as	experience can be considered for both	Corrigendum-1	in	this
	mentioned in Clause 2.9.4 (a) of	transaction advisory and preparation of	regard.		
	providing services related to	DPR.			
	Transaction Advisory for airport	For example, for a XYZ Airport, advisory			
	projects, power projects, railway	services were provided by a consortium of			
	projects, ports, etc. in last 10 years.	Financial and Technical consultants.			
	Project experience in preparation of	Technical Consultant's scope of work			
	DPR's for the Airport Projects during	included preparation of DPR and Financial			
	last 10 years.	Consultant's scope of work included			
		transaction advisory services. Whether			
		such project of XYZ airport can be			
		considered separately under preparation of			
		DPR and under Transaction Advisory			

DHOLEKA IN GUJAKAT UNDER DMIC PROJECT				
		Services according to the provisions of		
		Clause 2.9.5?		
		We request you to kindly allow evaluation		
		of such projects separately as work done		
		for preparation of DPR and undertaking		
		transaction advisory is very different. This		
		will enable us to form a consortium with		
		the most experienced firm to provide		
		required services.		
86	The firm should have undertaken /	Request you to please let us know the	Kindly refer	
	completed similar projects as	number of projects which would be	Corrigendum-1 in this	
	mentioned in clause 2.9.4 (a) of	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	regard.	
	providing services related to	1 1		
	Transaction Advisory for airport	1 1 ,		
	projects, power projects, railway	Advisory for evaluation under this criteria		
	projects, ports, etc. in last 10 years.			
	Project experience in preparation of			
	DPR's for the Airport Projects during	e e		
	last 10 years.	and significant difference in experience		
		while advising government entities as		
		compared to private entities, we request		
		you to only consider Transaction Advisory		
		experience provided to Government		
		clients/ public sector clients.		

87	Financial Expert - Should be an MBA	We request you to consider reducing	The condition of the RfQ
	(Finance) /CFA/CA with minimum	minimum experience for Financial Expert	cum RfP remains
	experience of 10 years in providing	to 7 years from the current requirement of	unchanged.
	financial advisory, preparation of	10 years. We believe 7 years of relevant	
	financial model and structuring of	experience is sufficient for a competent	
	aviation/ PPP Infrastructure projects.	Financial Expert. Further, experience of	
		financial structuring of recent airport	
		projects is very critical as greenfield airport	
		transactions in India have undergone a	
		significant change. Majority of such	
		projects have been undertaken in the last 7	
		years.	